

The Corporation of the Town of Milton

Report To:	Council
From:	Barbara Koopmans, Commissioner, Development Services
Date:	May 31, 2021
Report No:	DS-041-21
Subject:	Public Meeting and Initial Report: Town-initiated Zoning By-law Amendments - Mature Neighbourhood Areas (Town File: Z- 03/21)
Recommendation:	THAT Staff Report DS-041-21 with respect to draft zoning by-law amendments for the following Mature Neighbourhood Area: Mountainview, Sections of Old Milton, Fallingbrook, Forrest Grove, Bronte Meadows and Valley View BE RECEIVED FOR INFORMATION.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act, the purpose of this report is to present the draft Zoning By-law amendment to implement the recommendations of the Mature Neighbourhoods Character Study for information at a Statutory Public Meeting.

The proposed amendment to the Town's Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, introduces new standards that apply to the following low-density residential neighbourhoods: Mountainview, sections of Old Milton outside the Downtown Character Area, Fallingbrook, Forrest Grove, Bronte Meadows, and Valley View.

REPORT

Background

Development Services staff initiated the Mature Neighbourhoods Character Study in April 2018 to review the Town's current Official Plan policies and regulatory framework relating to the construction of new dwellings and additions to existing dwellings in mature residential neighbourhoods within Milton's Established Urban Area and recommend appropriate changes to protect the character of these residential areas.

The study analyzes, with community input, the elements and qualities that contribute to residential character of these stable low-density residential neighbourhoods. The study assesses whether the policies of the Local Official Plan and the regulatory framework of the Town's Zoning By-law are effective in managing development and maintaining the character of mature neighbourhood areas, and informs potential improvements to these



Background

and other regulatory tools. The study is carried out in various phases to cover the extent of the Town's mature neighbourhoods.

Phase 1 - Downtown Character Area

Phase one completed the review of the Local Official Plan policies for Character Areas and Mature Neighbourhood Areas and the Zoning By-law standards that apply to low-density residential development in the Downtown Character Area. On October 19, 2020, Council approved LOPA 60 and Zoning By-law No. 081-2020 (<u>DS-43-20</u>), which implement the recommendations from Phase 1.

Phase 2 - Mountainview and Old Milton

Concurrently with Phase 1, staff continued to assess other mature neighbourhood areas. On September 2019, staff initiated Phase 2 on the Mountainview neighbourhood and the residential section of Old Milton located north of the Downtown Character Area and west of Ontario Street North, with the review of 413 properties zoned Residential Low Density (RLD). The subject area is depicted on Figure 1.

Staff held three walking tours in September and October of 2019 to discuss the purpose of the study with residents, collect information about the characteristics of the neighbourhoods that they valued, their opinion and concerns. A total of 25 participants attended the walkabouts. In addition, local residents were invited to participate in an online survey that ran from September to November of 2019. The "Mature Neighbourhoods Character Study - Phase 2 - Mountainview Background Report", transmitted to Council through report <u>DS-20-20</u> at the Virtual Council Meeting on July 20, 2020, summarizes the findings.

On April 14, 2021, residents were invited to provide feedback on the proposed changes to the Zoning By-law affecting these areas through an online mapping tool on Let's Talk Milton. Upon completion of this process, staff reviewed feedback from the public and refined and finalized the recommendations for the study, which are the subject of this Statutory Public Meeting. The map remains open to give residents the option to provide further comments.

Phase 3 - Fallingbrook, Forrest Grove, Bronte Meadows and Valley View

Phase 3 was incorporated to the work plan on July 2020 with the review of 1200 residential properties zoned RLD and 280 properties zoned RMD within the Fallingbrook, Forrest Grove, Bronte Meadows and Valley View neighbourhoods, and a small portion of Old Milton directly outside the Downtown Character Area (area is depicted on Figure 2). Due to Covid-19 restrictions, walking tours were not organized. A summary of the technical review of these neighbourhoods is presented on Appendix 1 to the subject report.

On April 14, 2021, residents were invited to provide feedback on the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendments affecting these neighbourhoods using the same online mapping tool on



Background

Let's Talk Milton, used for Mountainview and Old Milton. The map remains open to give residents the option to provide further comments.

Since mid-April the project page had more than 400 visitors, and 331 are considered to be 'aware'. In addition to this participation, the following activities have occurred to keep the community informed about the project:

- Updated the project's web page on the Town's website;
- Sent a Mailchimp email (enewsletter) and a reminder to project subscribers ;
- Notice of the project and the public meeting were included the Town's monthly enewsletter;
- Social media posts;
- Placed the statutory public meeting notice ad in the Champion;
- Posted the statutory public meeting notice on the Town's website;
- Shared the statutory public meeting notice on social media;
- Mailchimp email (enewsletter) to subscribers re: statutory public meeting notice; and
- A flyer notice to households/businesses in the area was distributed prior to the public meeting.

Staff reviewed feedback from the public as input into the proposed zoning by-law amendment as presented in this report for the purposes of a formal Statutory Public Meeting. The proposed amendment is described in the Discussion section of this report.

Next Steps - Dorset Park and Timberlea

Phase 3 is currently undergoing background work on the Dorset Park and Timberlea neighbourhoods. A statutory public meeting for the proposed Zoning By-law amendments that apply to these neighbourhoods will be scheduled in the near future.

Discussion

Proposed Amendment to the Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended

The Zoning By-law amendment (attached as Appendix 2) proposes to:

Mapping:

Introduce new zones for Residential Low-Density 2 (RLD2), Residential Low-Density 3 (RLD3) and Residential Low Density 4 (RLD4) to certain areas currently zoned Residential Low-Density (RLD).

• For the new Residential Low Density 2 (RLD2) Zone:



Setbacks:

- Establish a minimum front yard setback of 6.5 metres.
- Establish a minimum front yard setback of 4.0 metres for the properties with frontage onto Ontario Street North, south of Woodward Avenue, and 7.5 metres for the properties with frontage onto Kingsleigh Court, Kings Court Crescent and Steeles Avenue East.
- In the case of an addition to an existing building, the minimum front yard can be equal to the yard legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the minimum front yard required for the parent zone, whichever is less restrictive.
- Establish a minimum interior side yard setback based on lot frontage and garage or carport presence for the new RLD2 zone, that is:
- > For development that incorporates an attached garage or carport:
- 1.2 metres for one-storey buildings and 1.8 metres for two-storey buildings, where the lot frontage is less than, or equal to, 15 metres;
- 1.8 metres, where the lot frontage is equal to, or greater than, 15 metres but less than 25 metres;
- 3.0 metres, where the lot frontage is equal to, or greater than, 25 metres but less than 30 metres; and
- > 5.0 metres where the lot frontage is equal to, or greater than, 30 metres.
- Where no garage or carport is attached to the building, the side yard on the side with a driveway shall be 3.5 metres, where the lot frontage is less than 30 metres, and 5.0 metres where the lot frontage is equal to, or greater than, 30 metres.

Building Height:

- Introduce a maximum two (2) storeys.
- Establish a new maximum building height specific to roof typology and modify how height is measured based on roof typology for the new RLD2 zone, to be:
 - 7.5 metres in the case of a flat roof; measured from the established grade to the highest point of the roof surface or parapet, whichever is greater; and
 - 9.0 metres in the case of a gable, hip, gambrel or mansard roof; measured from the established grade to the highest point of the roof surface.

Lot Coverage

For lots with area less than 660 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.5% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.



- For lots with area greater than 660 square metres but less that 800 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.25% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.
- For lots greater than 800 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.0% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.

Street Front Treatment

- Introduce a zoning standard for the new RLD2 zone to clarify that the dwelling face must be a minimum of 50% of the building face.
- > Establish a requirement for garages or carports for the new RLD2 zone, that is:
 - o for an attached garage, the garage face may extend beyond the building front wall of the first storey by a maximum of 1.8 metres provided that a front porch/veranda is constructed with a minimum depth of 1.2 metres.
 - o for a detached garage or attached or detached carport, the garage face or front of the carport shall be setback a minimum of 1.5 metres from the building front wall.
 - o An attached or detached garage or carport shall not be located within a front yard or exterior side yard.
- For the new Residential Low Density 3 (RLD3) Zone:

Setbacks:

- > Establish a minimum front yard setback of 7.0 metres.
- Establish a minimum front yard setback of 7.5 metres for the properties with frontage onto Laurie Avenue, Farmstead Drive and Sunnyvale Crescent in the Bronte Meadows neighbourhood, and 10.0 metres for the properties with frontage onto Ontario Street North and South.
- In the case of an addition to an existing building, the minimum front yard can be equal to the yard legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the minimum front yard required for the parent zone, whichever is less restrictive.

Building Height:

- Introduce a maximum of 2 storeys.
- Establish a new maximum building height specific to roof typology and modify how height is measured based on roof typology for the new RLD3 zone, to be:
 - o 7.5 metres in the case of a flat roof; measured from the established grade to the highest point of the roof surface or parapet, whichever is greater; and



o 9.0 metres in the case of a gable, hip, gambrel or mansard roof; measured from the established grade to the highest point of the roof surface.

Lot Coverage:

- For lots with area less than 660 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.5% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.
- For lots with area greater than 660 square metres but less that 800 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.25% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.
- For lots greater than 800 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.0% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.

Street Front Treatment:

- Establish a requirement for garages or carports for certain areas zoned RLD3 within the Fallingbrook neighbourhood, that is:
 - o for an attached garage, the garage face may extend beyond the building front wall of the first storey by a maximum of 1.8 metres provided that a front porch/veranda is constructed with a minimum depth of 1.2 metres.
 - o for a detached garage or attached or detached carport, the garage face or front of the carport shall be setback a minimum of 1.5 metres from the building front wall.
 - o An attached or detached garage or carport shall not be located within a front yard or exterior side yard.

• For the new Residential Low Density 4 (RLD4) Zone:

Setbacks:

- > Establish a minimum front yard setback of 7.0 metres.
- Establish a minimum front yard setback of 7.5 metres for the properties with frontage onto Laurie Avenue, Farmstead Drive and Sunnyvale Crescent in the Bronte Meadows neighbourhood.
- In the case of an addition to an existing building, the minimum front yard can be equal to the yard legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the minimum front yard required for the parent zone, whichever is less restrictive.

Building Height

Introduce a maximum of 2 storeys.



- Establish a new maximum building height specific to roof typology and modify how height is measured based on roof typology for the new RLD4 zone, to be:
 - o 8.0 metres in the case of a flat roof; measured from the established grade to the highest point of the roof surface or parapet, whichever is greater; and
 - o 9.5 metres in the case of a gable, hip, gambrel or mansard roof; measured from the established grade to the highest point of the roof surface.

Lot Coverage

- For lots with area less than 660 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.5% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.
- For lots with area greater than 660 square metres but less that 800 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.25% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.
- For lots greater than 800 square metres, exclude covered porches from the calculation of lot coverage to a maximum of 1.0% lot coverage provided that no gross floor area or amenity area is constructed above.
- For the new Residential Medium Density 1*Special (RMD1*XXX) Zone:

Setbacks:

- > Establish a minimum front yard setback of 7.0 metres.
- Establish a minimum front yard setback of 7.5 metres for the properties with frontage onto Laurie Avenue.
- In the case of an addition to an existing building, the minimum front yard can be equal to the yard legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the minimum front yard required for the parent zone, whichever is less restrictive.

Building Height

- Introduce a maximum of 2 storeys.
- Establish a new maximum building height specific to roof typology and modify how height is measured based on roof typology for the new RMD1*X zone, to be:
 - o 8.0 metres in the case of a flat roof; measured from the established grade to the highest point of the roof surface or parapet, whichever is greater; and
 - o 9.5 metres in the case of a gable, hip, gambrel or mansard roof; measured from the established grade to the highest point of the roof surface.

Amendment to Interim Control By-law 082-2020



Interim Control By-law 082-2020 (ICBL) is currently in effect to control replacement dwellings and building additions within the Town's mature neighbourhood areas (until Oct. 19 2021), while the Town completes Phases 2 and 3 of the Mature Neighbourhoods Study.

Subject to the approval of the proposed amendment, the ICBL would no longer be required in the areas subject to this report. As such, staff would seek direction from Council to amend the ICBL accordingly.

Public Consultation and Review Process

Notice for the public meeting was provided pursuant to the requirements of the Planning Act on April 29, 2021 and an email notification was sent to individuals on the study's mailing list.

The intent of this report is to provide the public with sufficient information to understand the scope of the proposed Zoning By-law amendment. Following the public meeting and initial report, staff will review all comments from the agencies and members of the public. A technical report including recommendations for Council's consideration will be brought forward at a later date responding to any issues or concerns raised through the public and agency consultation process

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact associated with this Report.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Koopmans, MPA, MCIP, RPP, CMO Commissioner, Development Services

For questions, please contact: Hugo Rincon, Policy Planner Phone: Ext. 2307

Attachments

Figure 1 - Location Map 1 Figure 2 - Location Map 2 Appendix 1 - Summary Report - Old Milton, Fallingbrook, Forrest Grove, Bronte Meadows and Valley View Appendix 2 - Draft Zoning By-law Amendment

CAO Approval Andrew M. Siltala Chief Administrative Officer



FIGURE 1 LOCATION MAP










FIGURE 2 LOCATION MAP





APPENDIX 1 DS-041-21



TOWN OF MILTON

MATURE NEIGHBOURHOODS CHARACTER STUDY FALLINGBROOK, FOREST GROVE, BRONTE MEADOWS, VALLEY VIEW

SUMMARY REPORT



This page has been intentionally left blank



Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION		
	1.1	The Mature Neighbourhoods Character Study	5
	1.2	The Role of Milton's Mature Neighbourhood Areas	6
	1.3	What is Neighbourhood Character?	8
2.	NEIG	GHBOURHOOD CHARACTERIZATION	9
	2.1	Old Milton (outside the Character Area)	. 10
	2.1	Fallingbrook	.13
	2.1	Forest Grove	.16
	2.1	Bronte Meadows	. 19
	2.1	Valley View	. 22
	4.5	Character Defining Elements Error! Bookmark not defin	ed.
3.	SUM	1MARY OF FINDINGS	.26

Figures

Figure 1. Stable Neighbourhoods within the Established Urban Area	7
Figure 2. Residential Neighbourhood Areas under review.	9
Figure 3. Mapping of period of construction of homes.	12
Figure 4. Mapping of lot area.	15
Figure 5. Mapping of building heights	18
Figure 6. Mapping of lot coverage	21
Figure 7. Mapping of garage typology	24
Figure 8. Mapping of garage location	25

Appendix

Appendix A. Summary of Lot and Building Specifications by Neighbourhood.

This page has been intentionally left blank



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Mature Neighbourhoods Character Study

The Town of Milton's planning staff initiated a Mature Neighbourhoods Character Study in 2018. The study was in response to a Council direction to staff to review the Town's existing Official Plan policies and regulatory framework relating to the construction of new dwellings in mature residential neighbourhoods and recommend appropriate changes to these tools to protect the character of these residential areas. The notice of motion arose from public concern regarding the construction of large new developments and how they impact the character of the Town's mature neighbourhoods. Residents in the Downtown Character Area initially raised the concerns, but residents in other areas of the Town's urban area are experiencing the same issue and have expressed their concerns as well.

The Mature Neighbourhoods Character Study is carried out in various phases to cover the extent of the Town's mature neighbourhoods¹. The study aims to:

- a. gain a better understanding, with community input, of the elements and qualities influencing the character of the Town's mature neighbourhoods;
- b. assess whether the policies of the Official Plan and the regulatory framework of the Town's Zoning By-law were effective in managing development and maintaining the character of mature neighbourhood areas; and
- c. inform potential improvements to the management of neighbourhood character issues related to applications for new residential development.

Phase 1 is now complete and includes the low-density residential neighbourhoods within the Downtown Character Area and the residential properties fronting onto Martin Street. The approved Zoning By-law Amendment (By-law No. 081-2020) introduces new standards that apply to low-density residential development in the Downtown Character Area.

Phase 2 includes the Mountainview neighbourhood and the residential section of Old Milton, located north of the Downtown Character Area and west of Ontario Street.

Phase 3 was incorporated to the work plan on July 2020 with the review of 1200 residential properties zoned RLD and 280 properties zoned RMD within the Fallingbrook, Forrest Grove, Bronte Meadows and Valley View neighbourhoods, and a small section of Old Milton located outside the Downtown Character Area.

¹ For a review of the Study's background research on the policy context and local planning framework, best practices and initial findings, refer to .Planning Department report <u>PD-018-19</u>.

As part of Phase 3, Town staff is currently undergoing background work on the Dorset Park and Timberlea neighbourhoods.

1.2 The Role of Milton's Mature Neighbourhood Areas

The Mature Neighbourhoods Character Study has been informed by the Councilendorsed Milton's Future Urban Structure framework (Report <u>PD-049-17</u>). The Future Urban Structure introduced a set of areas along with a vision, attributes and dependencies for each area supporting the achievement of the vision. One structural element, described as the stable neighbourhoods within the Established Urban Area, is a significant precedent to the study.

The stable neighbourhoods comprise residential areas characterized as Mature Neighbourhood Areas. The Future Urban Structure framework establishes the vision, attributes, and dependencies for these areas, which provide further characterization and direction for development that ought to be considered:

Vision

- Generally, maintain pre-Halton Urban Structure Plan -HUSP- character (built form, lot fabric)
- Respect cultural heritage built form and landscapes
- Limited infill and redevelopment sympathetic to cultural heritage character
- Provision of a range of housing choices to support a full range of socio-economic circumstances including aging in place
- Potential for adaptive reuse of some historic building stock in appropriate locations

Attributes

- Concentration of cultural heritage built form and landscapes
- High degree of walkability due to grid road network
- High degree of visual interest
- Proximity to historic downtown

Dependencies

- Appropriate transitions between existing and new development
- Appropriate and defensible regulatory framework to protect important elements and to enable contextually sensitive redevelopment
- Adequacy of servicing (municipal water, wastewater, storm water infrastructure, roads, parks, schools etc.)

The Local Official Plan now includes a definition for Mature Neighbourhood Area that builds upon the foundations of the Future Urban Structure for stable neighbourhoods,



which provides direction for development to recognize the qualities and features that define their character:

"older residential area within the Residential Area designation, as identified in the implementing Zoning-By-law, characterized by predominantly single-detached dwellings generally on large lots, and other built and natural qualities that collectively provide a distinct and recognizable character".




Figure 1. Stable Neighbourhoods within the Established Urban Area.

1.3 What is Neighbourhood Character?

Neighbourhood character in Milton's mature areas is incredibly important to the overall perception of neighbourhood quality. It refers to the look and feel of an area and the activities, which occur there. It is often defined as the collective qualities and characteristics that distinguish a particular area or neighbourhood.

The Local Official Plan states the definition of Character as:

"the aggregate of features that combined indicate the quality and nature of a particular area. The distinct features include built and natural attributes of an area such as scale and massing, vegetation, topography, lotting pattern, colour, texture, material and the relation between buildings, spaces, and landforms".

Every property, public place or piece of infrastructure contributes, whether great or small. It is the cumulative impact of quantitative elements, such as lot frontage, setbacks, and building height; and qualitative elements, such as landscaping, materiality, and door and window placement. These elements of a place can be building-related, property-related or neighbourhood-related, which blend to define a unique place and character.

Building-related features

Building-related features include those features that define the character of the built form in a neighbourhood. Building features include elements such as the height and massing of buildings, setbacks from the street and from adjacent buildings, or materials.

Property-related features

Property-related features include those features that define the lots in a neighbourhood. Lot features include elements such as the size of the lots and their frontage along a street, the orientation of the lots and the natural features common on the lots.

Neighbourhood-related features

Neighbourhood-related elements or features include those features that define the broader neighbourhood and include public areas such as the streetscape and street design, street network, sidewalks and trails, street lighting, street trees, natural features, and general lotting patterns (grid, curvilinear, cul-de-sacs, etc.).

2. NEIGHBOURHOOD CHARACTERIZATION

The geographic focus of the study is the Town's Mature Neighbourhood Areas. Five neighbourhoods or sub-areas, each containing a noticeable set of attributes that could contribute to their overall neighbourhood characterization, are described in this report: *A section of Old Milton (outside the Downtown Character Area), Fallingbrook, Forest Grove, Bronte Meadows and Valley View.* The residential areas within this neighbourhoods are depicted in Figure 2 and are described below.

Town planning staff carried out background research to gain a better understanding of the time frame associated to the growth of this section of Milton, the built form patterns that define the character of these neighbourhoods and where changes are occurring through infill and redevelopment. General observations about street and lotting pattern, built form, building separation, vegetation and street trees, and streetscape elements were made to understand what elements and qualities stood out and identify patterns.

Appendix A includes tables that summarize the findings by neighbourhood within the study area.




Figure 2. Residential Neighbourhood Areas under review.

2.1 Old Milton (outside the Character Area)

Old Milton was built mainly between 1850 and 1890. The southern area continue to evolve and transition during the twentieth century. While a large portion of the area is designated in the Local Official Plan as the Downtown Character Area, some neighbourhoods remain outside of the Character Area boundary. A small residential area on the southwest corner of Old Milton is being reviewed in Phase 3 of the Study.

This small section of Old Milton comprises 56 residential properties located on Ashbrook Court, Wakefield Road and the west side of Ontario Street S. The small Wakefield Park is located in the interior of one of the blocks. Two properties on Ontario Street contain a retail establishment and an office use.



Characteristics of this area that define the current neighbourhood character of this section of Old Milton include:

Streetscape

- Ashbrook Court has no sidewalks, and one sidewalk is only present on one side of Wakefield Road. Ontario Street, classified as Multi-purpose Arterial, has a wide right-of-way with sidewalks on both sides.
- Irregular block configuration.
- Lots of frontage and landscaped yards.

Lot Fabric

- Rectangular lots along straight streets and irregular lots around the cul-de-sac on Kingsway Place.
- Half of the lots (52%) are less than 660 square metres in size. An additional 33% of lots are between 660 and 830 square metres in size.
- Average lot size is 721 square metres.
- 90% of lots have a frontage between 15 and 19.9 metres in length, with the average length of lot frontages in this neighbourhood being 18.9 metres.
- Predominantly deep lots. 86% of the lots have depth greater than 30 metres, with an average lot depth of 34.9 metres.
- There are no vacant lots on this neighbourhood.

Built Form

- More than half of the structures in this neighbourhood are one storey in height (57%), with an additional 29% of houses being 1.5 stories in height and 14% being two-storey.
- 64% of the houses have a lot coverage less than 20%. An additional 31% have a lot coverage in the range of 20.1 to 25%. Only 1 house exceeds 40% lot coverage.
- The average lot coverage in this area is 20%.
- 50% of the properties contain no garage structure.
- There is significant split in the type and location of garages in this area. 36% of properties contain an attached garage or carport. An additional 105 are located at the rear of the property.
- 43% of the houses have a front yard setback between 8.1 and 12 metres. An additional 38% have a front yard setback in the range of 4.1 to 8.0 metres. The average front yard setback is 9.0 metres.
- Interior side yard setbacks range from as little as 0.9 metres to approximately 11 metres. Almost half of the side yard setbacks are in the range of 1.5 to 3.0 metres and an additional 25% are in the 3.0 to 4.5 metre range. The average for all setbacks is 2.9 metres.
- Brick is the predominant material. Some house incorporate siding or stucco.
- There is only one listed heritage property in the neighbourhood.




Figure 3. Mapping of period of construction of homes.

2.1 Fallingbrook

Registered in 1954, the Fallingbrook neighbourhood was built in the 1950s and 1960s in the area just south of Old Milton. It falls in the area south of Barton and Sydney Streets; east of the CN Railway, west of Ontario Street, and north of the Bronte Meadows neighbourhood. Community features in the area are the Brian Best and David Thompson Parks, schools and recreational facilities.

There are 390 residential properties in this neighbourhood, all zoned Residential Low Density (RLD).



Characteristics of the Fallingbrook neighbourhood that contribute to its current character include:

Streetscape

- Heslop Road, classified as collector roads, has sidewalks on both sides. Other collector or local roads have sidewalks only on one side.
- Mostly irregular block configuration.
- Mature street trees; lots of frontage and landscaped front yards.

Lot Fabric

- Mostly rectangular lots sitting along longer streets. Some more irregular lots on curved streets.
- 60% of lots have an area between 660 and 830 square metres, and 24% have an area less than 660 square metres.



- Average lot size is 778 square metres.
- 77% of lots have a frontage between 15 and 19.9 metres in length. An additional 12% of lots have a frontage between 20 and 24.9 metres in length. The average lot frontages in this neighbourhood is 19.3 metres.
- Lots are predominantly deep with 96% of them having depth greater than 30 metres. The average lot depth for all lots is 38.5 metres.
- There are no vacant lots on this neighbourhood.

Built Form

- 70% of the houses in this neighbourhood are one storey in height, 24% are twostorey and only 7% are 1.5 storey split bungalows.
- 64% of the houses have a lot coverage less than 20%. An additional 27% have a lot coverage in the range of 20.1 to 25% and only 1% has a lot coverage equal or greater than 30%.
- The average lot coverage for this neighbourhood is 19%.
- Replacement dwellings are 2-storey in height.
- 26% of garages are located at the rear of the property, followed by 21% of garages aligned with the front wall of the dwelling. Projected and setback garages are also present in the neighbourhood in small numbers.
- 27% of the properties contain no garage structure.
- 46% of houses have a front yard setback between 8.1 and 12 metres. An additional 24% have a front yard setback in the range of 4.1 to 8.0 metres. The average front yard setback is 9.1 metres.
- On lost with a frontage equal or less than 20 metres, side yard setbacks range from 0 metres on semi-detached dwellings and 0.6 metres on detached dwellings to 8.5 metres. On these properties the average setback is 2.9 metres.
- The average side yard setback on lots with a frontage greater than 20 metres is 3.4 metres.
- Brick and wood siding are the predominant materials.
- There are no listed or designated heritage properties in the neighbourhood.




Figure 4. Mapping of lot area.

2.1 Forest Grove

The Forest Grove neighbourhood represents a small group of houses built in the 1950s and early 1960s on Halton Avenue, Parkway Drive E. and Donald Campbell Avenue, ending in a cul-de-sac. The neighbourhood is bounded by Ontario Street to the West, the Milton Evergreen Cemetery to the North, Sixteen Mile Creek and Dorset Park to the West and Parkway Drive E. to the South. A pathway connects Parkway Drive E. across the creek with the Fallingbrook neighbourhood.

There are just 19 residential properties in this area, all zoned Residential Low Density (RLD).



Characteristics of the Forest Grove neighbourhood that contribute to its current character include:

Streetscape

- Mature street trees; lots of frontage and landscaped front yards.
- Limited walkability due to the absence of sidewalks.
- Irregular blocks
- Limited vehicular traffic with streets ending in a dead end or cul-de-sac.

Lot Fabric

- Rectangular lots sitting along longer straight streets.
- Reverse lots backing onto Ontario Street S.
- All lots are greater than 830 square metres in size and the average lot size is 2,842 square metres.



- The majority of lots (79%) have a frontage greater than 30 metres, with the average length of lot frontages being 33.2 metres.
- Lot depth ranges from 45 to 92 metres. Average lot depth is 71 metres.
- There are no vacant lots on this neighbourhood.

Built Form

- 16 houses (84%) are one-storey bungalows and 3 (16%) are 2-storey dwellings.
- All the houses in the area have a lot coverage less than 15%, due to the large size of the properties. The average lot coverage for all properties is 10%.
- 68% of garages are aligned with the front wall of the dwelling. 79% are attached to the dwelling and 69% are two-car garage structures.
- 47% of houses have a front yard setback between 12.1 and 16 metres. An additional 32% have a front yard setback greater than 16 metres. The average front yard setback is 17.3 metres.
- Side yard setbacks range from as little as 1.2 metres to more than 25 metres on large properties.
- 74% of all side yard setbacks are greater than 3.0 metres, including 45% that are greater than 6.0 metres. The average setback for all properties is 9.5 metres.
- Brick and wood siding are the predominant materials.
- There are no listed or designated heritage properties in the neighbourhood.




Figure 5. Mapping of building heights.

2.1 Bronte Meadows

The Bronte Meadows neighbourhood was registered during the 1970s and 1980s. Its boundaries are Derry Road, Bell Street, Bronte Street and Commercial Street. Residential development on the western size of the neighbourhood was built during the 1970s and a large extent of residential development was further constructed in the neighbourhood between 1982 and 1985.

This area is well known for its hill at Sixteen Mile Creek, the Bronte Meadows park, John Tonelli Arena and the Milton District High School. The Milton District Hospital and Milton Sports Centre are found just on the other side of Derry Road.

There are 332 residential properties zoned Residential Low Density (RLD) and 280 additional residential properties zoned Residential Medium Density I (RMD1) in this area.



Characteristics of the Bronte Meadows neighbourhood that contribute to its current character include:

Streetscape

- Laurier Avenue, farmstead Drive and Commercial Street, classified as collector roads, have sidewalks on both sides. Local roads have sidewalks only on one side.
- Irregular block configuration.
- Good mix of mature street trees on both sides of streets.

Lot Fabric

- Rectangular lots sitting along longer straight streets and irregular lots around culde-sacs and on curved streets. There are no vacant lots on this neighbourhood.
- 86% of lots in the Residential Low Density area and 99% of lots in the Residential Medium Density area have an area less than 660 square metres.
- Average lot size in areas zoned Residential Low Density is 536 square metres. The average in the Residential Medium Density area is 336 square metres.
- Lot frontage mostly within the 15 to 20 metre range (64%) and 0 to 15metre range (25%) with average for all properties of 15.3 metres in areas zoned Residential Low Density.
- 98% of lots in the Residential Medium Density area have a frontage less than 15 metres, with average for all lots of 9.7 metres.
- Only 9 lots in Bronte Meadows have a depth less than 30 metres. The average lot depth for all lots is 33 metres.

Built Form

- Houses are predominantly single-detached. Likewise, only 11% of units in the Low Density Area are semi-detached.
- 82% of houses in the Residential Low Density area and 95% of houses in the Residential Medium Density area are two-storey in height.
- Four semi-detached units recent built on Bronte Street are 2-storey in height.
- 70% of houses in the Low Medium Density area have a lot coverage greater than 25%, generally corresponding to the lot size. The average lot coverage for all houses in this area is 28%.
- 61% of houses in the Residential Medium Density area have a lot coverage greater than 30%. The average lot coverage for all houses in this area is 30%.
- Attached Garages are the predominant form (82%), with 44% being two-car garage structures. 74% of garages in the Residential Low Density area and 100% in the Residential Medium Density area project beyond the front wall of the dwelling.
- 73% of houses have a front yard setback between 4.1 and 8.0 metres. An additional 27% have a front yard setback between 8.1 and 12 metres. The average front yard setback is 7.8 metres.
- 45% of properties in the Low Medium Density area have an interior side yard setback of less than 1.5 metres. An additional 44% have a setback between 1.5 and 3.0 metres. Likewise, the side yard setbacks in the Residential Medium Density area are generally consistent with the RMD1 zone. The average setback in this area in 1.0 metres.
- Brick and wood siding are the predominant materials.
- There are no listed or designated heritage properties in the neighbourhood.




Figure 6. Mapping of lot coverage.

2.1 Valley View

Registered in 1973, Valley View is characterized by homes built in the 1970s on Valleyview Crescent. The neighbourhood is bounded by Ontario Street to the East, Laurier Avenue to the South, and Sixteen Mile Creek to the West and North.

There are 118 residential properties currently zoned Residential Low Density (RLD) in this area.



Characteristics of the Valley View neighbourhood that contribute to its current character include:

Streetscape

- Standalone neighbourhood with two accesses from Laurier Street to a single local street.
- Sidewalk is present only in one side of Valleyview Crescent.
- Lots of frontage and landscaped yards.
- Good mix of mature street trees on both sides of the crescent.
- All properties with double-car driveway.

Lot Fabric

- Mostly large rectangular lots sitting along long straight road.
- Reverse lots backing onto Ontario Street S.
- 56% of lots are greater than 830 square metres, and 41% of lot have an area between 660 and 830 square metres.
- The average lot size is 848 square metres.



- Lot frontage mostly within the 15 to 20 metre range (64%) and 20 to 25 metre range (25%) with average for all properties of 19.9 metres.
- Lots are predominantly deep with all having depth greater than 30 metres. The average lot depth for all lots is 40.4 metres.
- There are no vacant lots on this neighbourhood.

Built Form

- All houses in this neighbourhood are single-detached built during the 1970s.
- 80% of the houses are two- storey in height and the remaining 20% are onestorey in height.
- 51% of houses have a lot coverage less than 30%. An additional 25% are in the 25% to 30% range. The average lot coverage for all properties in this neighbourhood is 24%.
- All dwellings have a garage structure with a driveway located to one side of the house.
- All of the garages are attached double type structures. 56% of garages project beyond the front wall of the dwelling while the remaining 44% of garages are aligned with the front wall of the dwelling.
- 51% of houses have a front yard setback between 4.1 and 8.0 metres. An additional 47% have a front yard setback between 8.1 and 12 metres. The average front yard setback is 8.1 metres.
- 61% of the side yard setbacks are in the range of 1.5 to 3.0 metres. An additional 25% are in the 3.0 to 4.5 metre range. The average of all setbacks in this area is 2.8 metres.
- Brick and wood siding are the predominant materials.
- There are no listed or designated heritage properties in Valley View.




Figure 7. Mapping of garage typology.




Figure 8. Mapping of garage location.

3. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The interpretation of residential character is based on how the elements of the built and natural environment, in both the public and private realms, combine to create the context and feeling of the neighbourhood. All neighbourhoods contribute to an authentic character as all buildings contribute and influence in the same way.

Neighbourhood Character

- The study area comprised 917 residential properties zoned Residential Low Density (RLD) and 280 residential properties zoned Residential Medium Density I (RMD1) grouped in five areas for the purpose of the study.
- 2. The majority of housing stock consists of low-density suburban development built from the 1950s up to the 1980s. The section of Old Milton and the Fallingbrook neighbourhood developed first, followed by other subdivisions in Forest Grove, Valley View and Bronte Meadows during the 1960s and 1970s. Bronte Meadows and small sections of Fallingbrook continued to grow in the 1980s with additional low and medium density development.
- Most houses built in the 1950s are modest bungalows, with simple forms and detailing, and balanced design representing a connection with Milton's post-war past. Houses built up to the 1970s are larger bungalows or two-storey structures. Houses in Bronte Meadows and Valley View are typical contemporary suburban models built in the 1980s.
- 4. 58% of lots in all these neighbourhoods have an area less than 660 square metres and 28% in the range of 660 to 830 square metres.
- 5. Most houses built in the 1950s and 1960s are modest bungalows. More than 50% of the houses built during the 1970s are two-storey, and 94% of the houses built in the 1980s are typical two-storey suburban models.
- 6. There is only 1 listed heritage property and it is located in the section of Old Milton being reviewed.
- 7. Single detached houses are the primary built form. Semi-detached dwellings are also present.
- Two-storey dwellings are the most prevalent built form, representing 61% of total in all five neighbourhoods. The highest proportion of two-storey dwellings is located in Bronte Meadows (88% of the houses in this neighbourhood) and Valley View (80% of the houses in this neighbourhood).
- 9. The number of one-storey dwellings is also significant with 32% of the total in all five neighbourhoods. The highest proportion of one-storey dwellings is located in

Forest Grove (84% of the houses in this neighbourhood) and Fallingbrook (70% of the houses in this neighbourhood).

- 10. Garage forms include attached (75%), detached (10%) and just a few carports. Their location in relation to the main dwelling varies across the decades.
- 11. Several low density areas are characterized by extended front yard setbacks and good separation between dwellings. Large front lawns, and trees help with keeping the aesthetic and character of the neighbourhood.
- 12. The character of Fallingbrook is starting to experience some change. According to some residents, large replacement homes are not in keeping with neighbourhood character and impact the privacy of neighbours.

Development and Change

- Replacement homes are perceived as incompatible with the existing streetscape and housing stock. Replacement dwellings are perceived by local residents as introducing changes to the cohesive perception and character of the neighbourhoods.
- 2. Nine houses have been demolished and replaced since 2010. Four new semidetached units were built in the last year.
- 3. Residents are concerned about tear down of older homes and construction of large homes taking up most of properties. Current demand for bigger-sized houses makes two-storey homes more desirable than the bungalow style.
- 4. Residential development in the study area is not subject to site plan control.

APPENDIX A. SUMMARY OF LOT AND BUILDING SPECIFICATIONS BY NEIGHBOURHOOD

Table A.1 Lot Area (square metres) (all lots, based on Town of Milton's GIS data)

	Old Milt Sout		Fallingb	rook	Forest G	rove	(RLD) B Mead		(RMD) B Meado		Valley V	/iew		
		58		390		19		332		280		118	Total	1197
660 sq.m. or less	30	52%	92	24%		0%	286	86%	277	99%	4	3%	689	58%
660 - 830 sq.m.	18	31%	234	60%		0%	33	10%	2	1%	48	41%	335	28%
830 sq.m or greater	10	17%	64	16%	19	100%	13	4%	1	0%	66	56%	173	14%
Average Lot Area		721		778		2842		536		336		848		637

Table A.2 Lot Frontage (metres)

	Old Mi Sou		Fallingb	rook	Forest G	rove	(RLD) B Mead		(RMD) B Meado		Valley V	liew/		
		58		390		19		332		280		118	Total	1197
0 - 14.9 m	1	2%	20	5%		0%	104	31%	273	98%	6	5%	404	34%
15 m - 19.9 m	52	90%	302	77%		0%	214	64%	7	3%	76	64%	651	54%
20 m - 24.9 m	2	3%	46	12%	1	5%	12	4%		0%	30	25%	91	8%
25 m - 29.9 m	2	3%	8	2%	3	16%	2	1%		0%	4	3%	19	2%
30 m or greater	1	2%	14	4%	15	79%		0%		0%	2	2%	32	3%
Average Frontage		18.9		19.3		33.2		15.3		9.7		19.9		16.2

Table A.3 Lot Depth (metres)

	Old Mil Sout		Fallingb	orook	Forest G	rove	(RLD) B Mead		(RMD) B Meado		Valley \	/iew		
		58		390		19		332		280		118	Total	1197
0 - 30 m	8	14%	15	4%		0%	9	3%		0%		0%	32	15%
30.1m or Greater	50	86%	375	96%	19	100%	323	97%	280	100%	118	100%	1165	85%
Average Depth		34.9		38.5		71.0		32.9		33.3		40.4		36.0

Table A.4 Number of Storeys

	Old Mil Sout		Fallingb	rook	Forest	Grove	(RLD) B Mead		(RMD) B Meado		Valley V	/iew		
		58		390		19		332		280		118	Total	1197
1 storey	33	57%	272	70%	16	84%	31	9%	8	3%	24	20%	384	32%
1.5 storeys	17	29%	25	6%		0%	20	6%		0%		0%	62	5%
2 storeys	8	14%	93	24%	3	16%	272	82%	266	95%	94	80%	736	61%
2.5 storeys		0%		0%		0%	9	3%	6	2%		0%	15	1%

Table A.5 Lot Coverage

	Old Mil Sout		Fallingb	orook	Forest	Grove	(RLD) I Mead	Bronte dows	(RMD) B Meade		Valley \	/iew		
		58		390		19		332		280		118	Total	917
15% or less	12	21%	97	25%	19	100%	4	1%	1	0%	4	3%	136	11%
15.1 - 20%	25	43%	151	39%		0%	31	9%	7	3%	14	12%	221	18%
20.1 - 25%	18	31%	105	27%		0%	66	20%	44	16%	62	53%	251	21%
25.1 - 30%	3	5%	32	8%		0%	123	37%	50	18%	30	25%	188	16%
30.1 - 40%		0%	5	1%		0%	108	33%	172	61%	8	7%	121	10%
40.1% or Greater		0%		0%		0%		0%	6	2%		0%	0	0%
Average Lot Coverage		20.0%		19.0%		10.0%		28.0%		31.0%		24.0%		22.3%

Table A.8 Garage Typology

	Old Mil Sout		Fallingb	rook	Forest	Grove	(RLD) B Mead		(RMD) B Meado		Valley \	/iew		
		58		390		19		332		280		118	Total	1197
Attached Single	9	16%	81	21%	2	11%	51	15%	226	81%		0%	369	31%
Attached Double	6	10%	67	17%	11	58%	277	83%	54	19%	118	100%	533	45%
Attached Triple		0%		0%	2	11%	1	0%		0%		0%	3	0%
Attached Carport	6	10%	36	9%		0%		0%		0%		0%	42	4%
Detached Single	5	9%	61	16%	1	5%		0%		0%		0%	67	6%
Detached Double	3	5%	38	10%	2	11%		0%		0%		0%	43	4%
No garage	29	50%	107	27%	1	5%	3	1%		0%		0%	140	12%

	Old Mili Sout		Fallingb	rook	Forest	Grove	(RLD) E Mead		(RMD) B Meado		Valley V	liew/		
		58		390		19		332		280		118	Total	1197
Projected from façade	5	9%	40	10%	2	11%	245	74%	279	100%	66	56%	637	53%
In line with façade	13	22%	80	21%	13	68%	64	19%	1	0%	52	44%	223	19%
Setback from façade	5	9%	63	16%	2	11%	20	6%		0%		0%	90	8%
Rear yard	6	10%	100	26%	1	5%		0%		0%		0%	107	9%
No garage	29	50%	107	27%	1	5%	3	1%		0%		0%	140	12%

Table A.9 Garage Location

Table A.10 Front Yard Setbacks (metres)

	Old Milt Sout	-	Fallingb	orook	Forest	Grove	(RLD) E Mead		(RMD) B Meado		Valley V	/iew		
		58		390		19		332		280		118	Total	1197
4 m or less		0%	1	100%		0%		0%		0%		0%	1	0%
4.1m - 8m	22	38%	169	24%		0%	228	69%	217	78%	60	51%	696	58%
8.1m - 12m	25	43%	211	46%	4	21%	100	30%	63	23%	56	47%	459	38%
12.1m - 16m	10	17%	1	4%	9	47%	4	1%		0%	2	2%	26	2%
16.1 or greater	1	2%	8	53%	6	32%		0%		0%		0%	15	1%
Average Front Yard		9.0		9.1		17.3		7.9		7.7		8.1		7.4

Table A.7 Side Yard Setbacks (metres)

	Old Mil Sout		Fallingb	rook	Forest	Grove	(RLD) B Mead		(RMD) B Meada		Valley V	/iew		
		116		780		38		664		560		236	Total	2394
1.5m or less	14	12%	121	16%	2	5%	299	45%	497	89%	16	7%	949	
1.6m - 3.0m	57	49%	302	39%	8	21%	293	44%	45	8%	144	61%	849	37%
3.1m -4.5m	29	25%	236	30%	7	18%	19	3%	7	1%	52	22%	350	10%
4.6m - 6.0m	8	7%	66	8%	4	11%	15	2%	7	1%	6	3%	106	1%
6.1m or greater	8	7%	55	7%	17	45%	38	6%	4	1%	18	8%	140	4%
Average Side Yard		2.3		2.3	4.4			1.5		0.7		1.9		1.9

	Old Mili		Fallingb	rook	Forest	Grove	(RLD) B Mead		(RMD) B Meado		Valley \	/iew		
·	Sout	n 58		390		19	wead	332	Medad	280		118	Total	1197
1860-1870	2	3%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	2	0%
1940-1949		0%	1	0%		0%		0%		0%		0%	1	0%
1950-1959	29	50%	175	45%	12	63%		0%		0%		0%	216	18%
1960-1969	23	40%	154	39%	6	32%	1	0%		0%		0%	184	15%
1970-1979		0%	44	11%	1	5%	52	16%		0%	118	100%	215	18%
1980-1989		0%	7	2%		0%	276	83%	280	100%		0%	563	47%
1990-1999		0%	3	1%		0%		0%		0%		0%	3	0%
2000-2009		0%		0%		0%	1	0%		0%		0%	1	0%
2010-2021	2	3%	3	1%		0%	2	1%		0%		0%	7	1%
no info	2	3%	3	1%		0%		0%		0%		0%	5	0%

Table A.10 Period of Construction

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF MILTON

BY-LAW NO. XXX-2021

BEING A BY-LAW TO AMEND THE TOWN OF MILTON COMPREHENSIVE ZONING BY-LAW 016-2014, AS AMENDED, PURSUANT TO SECTION 34 OF THE *PLANNING ACT* IN RESPECT OF ALL LANDS WITHIN THE TOWN OF MILTON, REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON (TOWN FILE: Z-03/21)

WHEREAS the Council of the Corporation of the Town of Milton deems it appropriate to amend Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended;

AND WHEREAS the Town of Milton Official Plan will provide for the lands affected by this by-law to be zoned as set forth in this By-law upon the approval of OPA No. 60;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the Corporation of the Town of Milton hereby enacts as follows:

- 1.0 THAT Schedule 'A' to Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by changing the existing Residential Low Density (RLD) zone symbol in certain areas with a Residential Low Density II (RLD2), Residential Low Density III (RLD3), Residential Low Density IV (RLD4), Residential Low Density RLD2*X, Residential Low Density RLD2*XX, Residential Low Density RLD3*X, Residential Low Density RLD3*XX, and Residential Low Density RLD4*X zone symbols, and changing the existing Residential Medium Density I (RMD1) zone symbol in certain areas with a Residential Low Density RMD1*X zone symbol, as per Schedules A and B attached hereto.
- **2.0** THAT the heading of Section 1.11.2 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by replacing it with the heading 'Transition: Interim Control By-law NO. 082-2020 Exemption Approval, Site Plan Approval and Building Permit Applications'.
- **3.0** THAT Section 1.11.2 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding a new Subsection ii) as follows:

ii) Nothing in this By-law shall prevent the erection or *use* of a *building* or *structure* for a development for which a complete application for building permit was filed on or prior to the date of passage of By-law NO. XXX-2021, if the development in question complies or the *building* permit application for the development is amended to comply, with the provisions of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, as it read on the date of passage of By-law NO. XXX-2021.

4.0 THAT Section 1.11.3 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding new Subsections ii) and iii) as follows:

iii) Nothing in this By-law shall prevent the issuance of a building permit in accordance with both Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, as it read on the date of passage of By-law NO. XXX -2021 and a related minor variance that was granted approval by the Town of Milton Committee of Adjustment or the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal, under Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, as it read on the date of passage of By-law NO. XXX-2021, so long as the complete application for the building permit has been filed by the date of compliance with the conditions pursuant to the decision of the Town of Milton Committee of Adjustment or the date of passage as the complete application for the building permit has been filed by the date of compliance with the conditions pursuant to the decision of the Town of Milton Committee of Adjustment or the decision of the Town of Tribunal.

iv) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 1.11.2 iii), an application for minor variance associated with a complete application for exemption to Interim Control By-law NO. 082-2020 or building permit that was filed prior to the date of the passage of By-law NO. XXX-2021, can still be made under the provisions of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 16-2014, as amended, as it read on the date of passage of By-law NO. XXX-2021.

- **5.0** THAT Section 1.11.5 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by deleting Subsection i) and replacing it with the following:
 - i) Sections 1.11.2 i), 1.11.3 i) and ii) and 1.11.5 i) are repealed two years from the date of enactment of By-law NO. 081-2020.
 - ii) Sections 1.11.1, 1.11.2 ii), 1.11.3 iii) and iv), 1.11.4 and 1.11.5 ii) are repealed two years from the date of enactment of By-law NO. XXX-2021.
- **6.0** THAT the Table of Content of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding the following table to the List of Tables:
 - TABLE 6B-1 Residential Low Density II Standards Residential Low Density III Standards Residential Low Density IV Standards
- **7.0 THAT** Section 2.1 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding the following zone and associated zone symbol to the Residential Zones column:
 - Low Density Residential II Zone (RLD2).
 - Low Density Residential III Zone (RLD3).
 - Low Density Residential IV Zone (RLD4)

8.0 THAT Section 2.5 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by deleting Table 2A, and replacing it with the following:

STREET NUMBE	R STREET NAME	ZONE	STREET NUMBER	STREET NAME	ZONE
С			72	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
36	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	77	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
37	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	78	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
39	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	79	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
46	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	80	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
52	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	83	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
55	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	85	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
69	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	94	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
72	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	96	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
75	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	98	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1
78	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	640	MARTIN STREET	C5
79	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	315	MOUNTAINVIEW DR	RLD2
86	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	321	MOUNTAINVIEW DR	RLD2
87	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1	322	MOUNTAINVIEW DR	RLD2
95	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	328	MOUNTAINVIEW DR	RLD2
96	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	329	MOUNTAINVIEW DR	RLD2
98	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	332	MOUNTAINVIEW DR	RLD2
99	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	0		
104	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	297	OAK ST	RLD1
106	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	Р		
107	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	246	PEARL ST	RLD1
111	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	256	PEARL ST	RLD1
123	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	251	PINE ST	RLD1
127	COMMERCIAL ST	RLD1*279	256	PINE ST	RLD1
F			262	PINE ST	RLD1
34	FULTON ST	RLD1	272	PINE ST	RLD1
50	FULTON ST	RLD1	290	PINE ST	RLD1
56	FULTON ST	RLD1	296	PINE ST	RLD1
79	FULTON ST	RLD1	310	PINE ST	RLD1
80	FULTON ST	RLD1	320	PINE ST	RLD1
84	FULTON ST	RLD1	326	PINE ST	RLD1
H J	TOLIOITOI		330	PINE ST	RLD1
345	HIGHSIDE DR	RLD2	R		
K		TIED 2	187	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
344	KINGSLEIGH CRT	RLD2*XX	194	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
348	KINGSLEIGH CRT	RLD2*XX	198	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
352	KINGSLEIGH CRT	RLD2*XX	202	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
356	KINGSLEIGH CRT	RLD2*XX		RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
360	KINGSLEIGH CRT	RLD2*XX	203	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
364	KINGSLEIGH CRT	RLD2*XX	200	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
368	KINGSLEIGH CRT	RLD2*XX	214	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
376	KINGSLEIGH CRT	RLD2 XX RLD2*XX	219	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2 RLD2
342	KINGSWAY PLACE	RLD2 AA	220	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2 RLD2
345	KINGSWAY PLACE	RLD3	224	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
346	KINGSWAY PLACE	RLD3	228	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
350	KINGSWAY PLACE	RLD3	232	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
351	KINGSWAY PLACE	RLD3	233	RIVERPLACE CRES	RLD2
354	KINGSWAY PLACE	RLD3	W		
358	KINGSWAY PLACE	RLD3	292	WOODWARD AVE	RLD1*280

TABLE 2A

Page 3 of 12 of By-law XX-2020

359	KINGSWAY PLACE	RLD3	315	WOODWARD AVE	RLD2
М			316	WOODWARD AVE	RLD2
66	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1			
68	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1			
71	MAIDEN LANE	RLD1			

- **9.0 THAT** Section 4.2.1 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by modifying Table 4A as follows:
 - The heading 'RLD, RLD1' is replaced by the heading 'RLD, RLD1, RLD2, RLD3, RLD4'.
- **10.0 THAT** Section 4.3.1 i) of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by modifying Table 4D as follows:
 - 'RLD2, RLD3 and RLD4' are added to the list of zones in the table.
- **11.0** THAT Sections 5.6.2 ii), iv) a), and iv) b) of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended is hereby further amended as follows:
 - 'RLD2, RLD3 and RLD4" are added between 'RLD1 and 'RMD1'.
- **12.0 THAT** Section 6.1 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by modifying Table 6A as follows:
 - The existing RLD and RLD1 zones and the new "RLD2, RLD3 and RLD4' zones are grouped into a single column; and
 - A bullet point (•) is added to the table under the column 'RLD, RLD1, RLD2, RLD3, RLD4" in the rows containing 'Dwelling, Detached', 'Dwelling, Duplex', Dwelling, Semi-detached', 'Shared Housing', 'Home Day Care' and 'Home Occupation'.
- **13.0 THAT** Section 6.2 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding a new Table 6B-1, as follows:

TABLE 6B-1

					ZONES	3			
	RLD2				RLD3				
								RLD4	
	Residential Low Density II		Residential Low Density ///			Residential			
						Low Density IV			
Regulations	Dw	elling Typ	be	Dw	elling Ty	ре	D	welling Ty	ре
	Detached Dwelling /		etached ing (*1)	Detached Dwelling /		etached elling	Detached Dwelling /		letached elling
	Duplex Dwelling (*1)	Corner Lot	Interior Lot	Duplex Dwelling	Corner Lot	Interior Lot	Duplex Dwelling	Corner Lot	Interior Lot
<i>Lot Frontage</i> (Minimum)	15.0m	11.4m / unit	10.0m / unit	15.0m	11.4m / unit	10.0m / unit	15.0m	11.4m / unit	10.0m / unit
<i>Lot Depth</i> (Minimum)	30m	30m	30m	30m	30m	30m	30m	30m	30m
<i>Lot Area</i> (Minimum)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<i>Lot</i> <i>Coverage</i> (Maximum)	(*2)	(*2)	(*2)	(*2)	(*2)	(*2)	(*2)	(*2)	(*2)
<i>Front Yard</i> <i>Setback</i> (Minimum)	6.5m (*3)	6.5m (*3)	6.5m (*3)	7.0m (*3)	7.0m (*3)	7.0m (*3)	7.0m (*3)	7.0m (*3)	7.0m (*3)
<i>Interior Side Yard Setback</i> (Minimum)	(*4) (*7)	0.0m	(*4) (*7)	(*5) (*7)	0.0m	1.8m (*7)	(*6) (*7)	0.0m	1.8m (*7)
<i>Exterior Side Yard Setback</i> (Minimum)	3.5m	3.5m	N/A	4.0m	4.0m	N/A	4.0m	4.0m	N/A
<i>Rear Yard</i> <i>Setback</i> (Minimum)	7.5m	7.5m	7.5m	7.5m	7.5m	7.5m	7.5m	7.5m	7.5m
<i>Building</i> <i>Height</i> (Maximum)	(*8)	(*8)	(*8)	(*8)	(*8)	(*8)	(*9)	(*9)	(*9)
<i>Number of</i> <i>Storeys</i> (Maximum)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<i>Landscaped</i> <i>Open Space</i> (Minimum)	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%

Footnotes for Table 6B-1:

- (*1) Shall be subject to the provisions of Section 6.3.3 of this By-law.
- (*2) See Table 6B-1 (I) below for maximum permitted *lot coverage*.

Table 6B-1 (I)

Lot Area	Maximum Lot Coverage
Less than 660 m ²	30% *
660 - 830 m ²	25% **
Greater than 830 m ²	20% ***

- * Excludes covered porches to a maximum of 1.5% lot coverage provided that *no gross floor area* or *amenity area* is constructed above.
- ** Excludes covered porches to a maximum of 1.25% lot coverage provided that no *gross* floor area or amenity area is constructed above.
- *** Excludes covered porches to a maximum of 1.0% lot coverage provided that no *gross floor area* or *amenity area* is constructed above.
- (*3) In the case of an addition to an existing building, the *minimum front yard* can be equal to the *yard* legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the *minimum front yard* required for the parent *zone*, whichever is less restrictive.
- (*4) Minimum *interior side yard:*

With attached garage or carport.

- i) 1.2m for one-storey *dwellings* and 1.8m for two-storey *dwellings*, where the *lot frontage* is less than15m.
- ii) 1.8m, where the *lot frontage* is equal to, or greater than, 15m but less than 25m.
- iii) 3.0m, where the *lot frontage* is equal to, or greater than, 25m but less than 30m.
- iv) 5.0m, where the lot frontage is equal to, or greater than, 30m.
- (*5) Minimum *interior side yard*:

With attached garage or carport.

- i) 1.2m on one side of the lot and 1.8m on the other side for one-storey *dwellings* and 1.8m on both sides of the lot for two-storey *dwellings*, where the *lot frontage* is less than 20m.
- ii) 1.8m on one side of the lot and 2.4m on the other side, where the *lot frontage* is equal to, or greater than 20m.


(*6) Minimum *interior side yard:*

With attached garage or carport.

- i) 1.2m on one side of the lot and 1.8m on the other side.
- (*7) Notwithstanding the provisions of (*3), (*4) and (*5) to the contrary, where no *garage* or *carport* is attached to the *dwelling*, the *interior side yard* closest to the *driveway* shall be a minimum of:
 - i) 3.5m, where the *lot frontage* is less than 30m.
 - ii) 5.0m, where the *lot frontage* is equal to, or greater than, 30m.
- (*8) Notwithstanding any provisions of the By-law to the contrary, the maximum building height shall be:
 - i) 7.5m in the case of a flat *roof*, measured from the *established grade* to the uppermost point of the *roof* surface or parapet, whichever is greater.
 - ii) 9.0m in the case of a gable, hip, gambrel or mansard *roof*, measured from the *established grade* to the uppermost point of the *roof* surface.

- (*9) Notwithstanding any provisions of the By-law to the contrary, the maximum building height shall be:
 - i) 8.0m in the case of a flat *roof*, measured from the *established grade* to the uppermost point of the *roof* surface or parapet, whichever is greater.
 - ii) 9.5m in the case of a gable, hip, gambrel or mansard *roof*, measured from the *established grade* to the uppermost point of the *roof* surface.

ILLUSTRATION OF BUILDING HEIGHT IN THE RLD2, RLD3, and RLD4 ZONES



NOTE:

The above illustration is for clarification and convenience only and does not form part of this By-law.

- **14.0 THAT** Section 6.3 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding a new subsection 6.3.3 as follows, and renumbering the subsequent sections accordingly:
 - 6.3.3 *Street* Front Treatment of Residential *Buildings* in the RLD2 *zone*

All residential *buildings* in the RLD2 *zone* must comply with the following *street* front treatment provisions:

- i) The *dwelling face* must be a minimum of 50% of the *building face*.
- ii) In addition to the requirements of Section 4.2.2, the following additional regulations shall apply to *attached* and *detached garages* and *carports*:
 - a. For *attached garages*, the *garage* face may extend beyond the *building front wall* of the first storey by a maximum of 1.8 metres provided that a front *porch/veranda* is constructed with a minimum depth of 1.2 metres.
 - b. For *detached garages* and *attached* or *detached carports*, the *garage face* or front of the *carport* shall be setback a minimum of 1.5 metres from the *building front wall*.
- iii) In no case shall an *attached* or *detached garage* or *carport* be located within a *front yard* or *exterior side yard*.
- **15.0 THAT** Subsections 13.1.1.134 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, are hereby further amended as follow:
 - The zone 'RLD' is replaced by the zone 'RLD3*XX'.
- **16.0 THAT** Section 13 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding a new Subsection 13.1.1.X, as follows:

13.1.1.X RLD2 *X

Various properties within mature neighbourhood areas

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 6.2 Table 6B-1 to the contrary, the following provisions shall apply:

i) Zone Standards

a) Minimum Front Yard.

- i) Lots with frontage onto Ontario Street North: 4.0m
- ii) All other lots: 7.5m
- iii) In the case of an addition to an existing building, the *minimum front yard* can be equal to the *yard* legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the *minimum front yard* required, whichever is less restrictive.
- **17.0 THAT** Section 13 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding a new Subsection 13.1.1.XXX, as follows:

13.1.1.XXX		RLD3	*X			
Various properties within mature neighbourhood areas						
following provision i) Zone Standar	ns shall apply:	ection 6.2 Table 6	B-1 to the contrary, the			
b) In the case of an addition to an existing building, the <i>minimum front yard</i> can be equal to the <i>yard</i> legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the <i>minimum front yard</i> required, whichever is less restrictive.						
ii) Special Regulations for garages and carports.						

- a) Provisions of Section 6.3.3 shall apply.
- **18.0 THAT** Section 13 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding a new Subsection 13.1.1.XXX, as follows:

13.1.1.XXX		RLE	03		*XX	
Various properties within mature neighbourhood areas						
Notwithstanding the following provisions iii) Zone Standards a) Minimum <i>Fre</i>	shall apply: s	ection 6.2	Table 6	B-1 to the	contrary, the	


- b) In the case of an addition to an existing building, the *minimum front yard* can be equal to the *yard* legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the *minimum front yard* required, whichever is less restrictive.
- iv) Special Regulations for *garages* and *carports*.
 - a) Provisions of Section 6.3.3 shall apply.
- **19.0 THAT** Section 13 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding a new Subsection 13.1.1.X, as follows:

13.1.1.XXX		RLD4	*X			
Various properties within mature neighbourhood areas						

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 6.2 Table 6B-1 to the contrary, the following provisions shall apply:

- ii) Zone Standards
 - a) Minimum Front Yard: 7.5m
 - b) In the case of an addition to an existing building, the *minimum front yard* can be equal to the *yard* legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the *minimum front yard* required, whichever is less restrictive.
- **20.0** THAT Section 13 of Comprehensive Zoning By-law 016-2014, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding a new Subsection 13.1.1.X, as follows:

13.1	.1.XXX		RMD1	*X		
Various properties within mature neighbourhood areas						
Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 6.1 Table 6A to the contrary, the following provisions shall apply:						
 i) Permitted Uses: Triplex dwellings and Quattroplex Dwellings are not permitted. 						
ii) Zone Standards						
a)	Minimum <i>Front Yard</i> .					
iv) Lots with frontage onto Laurier Avenue: 7.5m						
	v) All other lots: 7.0m					
vi) In the case of an addition to an existing building, the <i>minimum front yard</i> can be equal to the <i>yard</i> legally existing on the effective date of this By-law or the <i>minimum front yard</i> required, whichever is less restrictive.						
b)	Maximun	n <i>Height</i> . 2 storeys t	o a maximum of:			



PASSED IN OPEN COUNCIL ON....., 2020.

			Mayor
 Δ.	1/ront	_	

Gordon A. Krantz

_Deputy Clerk

Meaghen Reid

